

May 17 05 03:19p

Lisa Griffith

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

858.777.5718

p. 1

MAY 17 2005

Patent
Attorney Docket No. Sensory 0012.CON

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Gary S. Hahn and David O. Thueson
Application No.: 09/400,757
Filing Date: September 21, 1999
Art Unit: 1617
Examiner: Shengjun Wang
Title: FORMULATIONS AND METHODS FOR REDUCING SKIN IRRITATION

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Arlington, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sir:

In response to the Non-final Office Action mailed January 14, 2005, Applicants hereby petition for a two-month extension of time for responding to that Office Action. The \$225 fee for the two-month extension of time is to be charged to the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-2785. With granting of the two-month extension of time, the deadline for responding to the Final Office Action expires on June 14, 2005.


It is believed that no additional fee is due in conjunction with this Response. However, if any fee should become payable, or credit due, please charge or credit the same to Deposit Account No. 50-2785.

05/18/2005 EAREGAY1 00000017 502785 09400757

01 FC:2252 225.00 DA

RECEIVED
MAY 18 2005
O/PE/JCWS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted on May 17, 2005, to the United States Patent & Trademark Office via facsimile number (703) 872-9306.


Lisa M. Griffith, Reg. No. 41,185

Response to Non-final Office Action Mailed 1/14/05
Application No. 09/400,757
Page 2 of 20

Attorney Docket Number

As a preliminary matter, Applicants again request that the Patent Office please update the Attorney Docket No. for the subject application to read as follows: Sensory 0012.CON.

SUBSTANTIVE REMARKS

Please enter the following remarks in response to the outstanding Office Action.

Interview Summary Record

Applicants thank Examiner Wang for granting and participating in a telephonic interview with the undersigned on April 22, 2005. During the telephonic interview, all outstanding rejections were discussed. These remarks summarize the substance of that interview.

Amendments

With this response, claim 1 has been amended to now recite the minimum amount of calcium cation recited in claim 4. Due to the nature of those amendments, cancellation of claims 2 and 3 is requested. A listing of the claims after these amendments follows.